	ed States Patent a		UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,142	01/19/2001	William D. Evans	D/A0A87	1295
7590 01/09/2006			EXAMINER	
Patent Documentation Center			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S.			2136	
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006	

HW

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

······································	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/766,142	EVANS, WILLIAM D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brandon S. Hoffman	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>15-29,35,37,38,41 and 42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-29,35,37,38,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 15-29, 35, 37, 38, 41, and 42 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments, filed November 2, 2005, have been considered and are persuasive. However, a new ground of rejection is made.

Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

<u>Claims 15-29, 35, 37, 38, 41, and 42</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Carter</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,787,175) in view of <u>Follendore, III</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,011,847), and further in view of <u>Saito</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,740,246).

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, <u>Carter</u> teaches a secure content object **for distributing and controlling access to a document and annotations associated with the document**, comprising:

• An electronic document, the electronic document using a document encryption key, wherein access to the electronic document is available to a first set of authorized users (fig. 6, ref. num 112 and col. 13, lines 4-17);

- A first multi-key encryption table for use in a multi-key encryption method associated with the electronic document, the first table comprising at least one multi-key encryption component associated with each authorized user in the first set (fig. 6, ref. num 114-118 and col. 13, line 18 through col. 14, line 22);
- A user interface device comprising unencrypted information for identifying the electronic document and an interactive element for enabling a user to input a user authorization for access to at least a portion of the encrypted electronic document, for inputting the user authorization to a decryption engine using the multi-key encryption method for combining the user authorization with each of the multi-key components in the first multi-key encryption key table to decrypt the encrypted header, and for combining the user authorization with each of the stored multi-key components in the second multi-key encryption key table to decrypt the decrypt an annotation (fig. 9, ref. num 152 and col. 16, lines 16-29);
- Wherein upon a valid decryption of the annotation indicates the correct annotation encryption key has been found and the user is an authorized user (col. 17, lines 5-11).

Carter does not teach an encrypted header, a plurality of dummy encryption components, a plurality of annotations generated by an annotation author, wherein access to the annotations is available to the users designated by the annotation author as having access to the plurality of annotation, a second multi-key encryption table comprising at least one multi-key component associated with each authorized

annotation user, and upon a valid decryption of the encrypted header, decrypting the portion of the encrypted electronic document.

<u>Follendore, III</u> teaches an encrypted header comprising information pertaining to the electronic document (fig. 2, ref. num 224 and col. 1, lines 22-25), a plurality of dummy encryption components, wherein the multi-key encryption table includes no information that may identify a user of the electronic document (col. 8, line 51 through col. 9, line 7), upon a valid decryption of the encrypted header, decrypting the portion of the encrypted electronic document (fig. 2, ref. num 242).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine generating an encrypted header comprising information pertaining to the electronic document and upon valid decryption of the header, decrypting the encrypted electronic document, and generating a plurality of dummy encryption components, wherein the table includes no information identifying a user or the document, as taught by <u>Follendore, III</u>, with the object of <u>Carter</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because a header defines the data portion of the document. When the header is decrypted, a decryption key contained in the header for decrypting the document allows the key to be transmitted safely. Also, the dummy data provides random data to include that will make the length of the data fields the same size; this aids in the encryption process (see col. 8, line 51 through col. 9, line 7 of Follendore, III).

Page 4

The combination of <u>Carter</u> as modified by <u>Follendore, III</u> still does not teach a plurality of annotations generated by an annotation author, wherein access to the plurality of annotations is available to the users designated by the annotation author as having access to the plurality of annotation and a second multi-key encryption table comprising at least one multi-key component associated with each authorized annotation user.

Saito teaches a plurality of annotations associated with the electronic document, generated by an annotation author and having been encrypted with an annotation encryption key, wherein access to the plurality of annotations is available to authorized annotation users comprising the annotation author and those users in the first set having been designated by the annotation author as having access to the plurality of annotation (col. 12, lines 20-41); and a second multi-key encryption table for use in a multi-key encryption method associated with the plurality of annotations, the second table comprising at least one multi-key component associated with each authorized annotation user (col. 12, lines 42-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine a multi-key table containing specific users that are allowed to access the annotations provided by the author of the annotations, as taught by <u>Saito</u>, with the object of <u>Carter/Follendore</u>, III. It would have been obvious for such modifications because user groups circumvent the problems of having to modify a

document for every user, and allows a document to specify which users can access the document.

Regarding <u>claim 16</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the encrypted header includes an encryption marker comprising a random number sequence followed by a derivable variation of the same random number sequence, wherein a valid decryption of the encryption marker indicates that the document encryption key has been found (see fig. 2, ref. num 230, 232, and 234 Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 17</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the electronic document comprises content information that is formatted based on an object language having a set of formatting rules (see col. 8, lines 17-26 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 18</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the user interface device comprises a second electronic document (see col. 5, lines 34-39 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the information pertaining to the electronic document comprises a user permission table for access to all or portions of the electronic document and wherein

only those permitted portions of the electronic document are decrypted (see col. 8, lines 51-59 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 20</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the encrypted header and the encrypted electronic document are encrypted using different encryption keys and wherein the multi-key encryption table includes at least one multi-key component for each encryption key (see fig. 4, ref. num 428, 430, 432, and 434 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 21</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the encrypted header further comprises a fingerprint for identifying some predefined aspect of the electronic document (see fig. 2, ref. num 230, 232, and 234 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 22</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the electronic document comprises a plurality of individual electronic documents and the encrypted header comprises information pertaining to each of the individual electronic documents (see col. 9, lines 44-49 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 23</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the information pertaining to the electronic document comprises a user permission table setting forth access to all or portions of each of the individual electronic

documents and wherein only those permitted portions of the authorized electronic document are decrypted (see col. 8, lines 51-59 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 24</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the content information is selected from the group consisting of text, graphics, equations, tables, spreadsheets, pictures, video files, audio files, multimedia files and binary data of unknown format (see col. 8, lines 17-26 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 25</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the object language comprises Adobe Acrobat (see col. 8, lines 17-26 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 26</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the object language comprises a language which interprets Microsoft Word documents (see col. 8, lines 17-26 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 27</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the encrypted header includes an encryption marker comprising a random number sequence followed by a derivable variation of the same random number sequence, wherein a valid decryption of the encryption marker indicates the header encryption key has been found (see fig. 2, ref. num 230, 232, and 234 Follendore, III); and wherein the encrypted electronic document includes an encryption

marker comprising a random number sequence followed by a derivable variation of the same random number sequence, wherein a valid decryption of the encryption marker indicates the document encryption key has been found (see fig. 2, ref. num 234, 236, and 238 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 28</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the electronic document includes a document ID and wherein the document encryption key includes a combination of the document ID, the user information and the multi-key components, for each authorized user (see fig. 4, ref. num 92 and 96 and col. 13, line 63 through col. 14, line 5 of Carter).

Regarding <u>claim 29</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the electronic document comprises a first electronic document and an annotation associated therewith, wherein the annotation is encrypted using an encryption key associated with a user generating the annotation (see fig. 10, ref. num 176, 180 and 182 and col. 20, lines 51-65 of Carter); and wherein the encrypted header includes information pertaining to the first electronic document and the annotation (see col. 9, lines 56-61 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 35</u>, <u>Carter</u> teaches a method for creating a secure content object for distributing and controlling access to a document and annotations associated with the document, comprising:

- Providing an electronic document, wherein access to the electronic document is available to a first set of users (fig. 4, ref. num 54,90);
- Responsive to a first user from the first set of users, generating a plurality of annotations pertaining to the electronic document using the document language (fig. 10, ref. num 176);
- Encrypting each annotation using an annotation encryption key associated with the first user generating the particular annotation, wherein access to an encrypted annotation is available to authorized users having access to the respective annotation encryption key (fig. 10, ref. num 180 and 182 and col. 20, lines 51-65);

For each annotation encryption key:

- Generating a multi-key encryption table for use in a multi-key encryption method, the table comprising at least one multi-key component (fig. 6, ref. num 114, 116, and 118 and col. 13, line 18 through col. 14, line 22);
- Providing a user interface for enabling a user to input a user authorization for access to at least a portion of an encrypted annotation (fig. 9, ref. num 152 and col. 16, lines 16-29);
- Wherein, responsive to an input user authorization, combining the input user authorization with each of the stored multi-key components in the multi-key encryption key table to decrypt the annotation, wherein valid decryption of the annotation indicates the correct annotation encryption key has been found (fig. 11, ref. num 192); and

 Access to the encrypted electronic document is available to the first set of users and access to the encrypted annotations in the separate file is provided only to authorized users (fig. 11, ref. num 192).

<u>Carter</u> does not teach associating the plurality of annotations with the first user, designating which users in the first set of users are authorized users have access to the plurality of annotations, associating with each authorized user having been designated by the first user as having access to the annotation, concatenating the plurality of encrypted annotations in a second electronic document, and merging the second electronic document and the encrypted electronic document into a third electronic document.

<u>Follendore, III</u> teaches concatenating the plurality of encrypted annotations in a second electronic document (fig. 2, ref. num 224), and merging the second electronic document and the encrypted electronic document into a third electronic document (fig. 2, ref. num 222 and 224 contained within 218).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine concatenating the annotations in a second document and merging the second electronic document and the encrypted electronic document into a third electronic document, as taught by <u>Follendore, III</u>, with the method of <u>Carter</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the annotations can become

many for only one file. By combining the annotations into their own electronic document, they can be handled on their own with their own keys separate from the electronic document.

The combination of <u>Carter</u> as modified by <u>Follendore, III</u> still does not teach associating the plurality of annotations with the first user, designating which users in the first set of users are authorized users have access to the plurality of annotations, associated with each authorized user having been designated by the first user as having access to the annotation.

Saito teaches associating the plurality of annotations with the first user, designating which users in the first set of users are authorized users have access to the plurality of annotations, associated with each authorized user having been designated by the first user as having access to the annotation (col. 12, lines 20-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine associating the authorized users for viewing the annotations in the table, as prescribed by the annotation author, as taught by <u>Saito</u>, with the object of <u>Carter/Follendore, III</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because user groups circumvent the problems of having to modify a document for every user, and allows a document to specify which users can access the document.

Regarding <u>claim 37</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches further comprising the step of:

- Encrypting the first electronic document using a document encryption key, wherein access to the encrypted electronic document is provided only to the first set of users (see fig. 6, ref. num 112 and col. 13, lines 4-17 of Carter);
- Generating a multi-key encryption table for us in a multi-key encryption method, the table comprising at least one multi-key component associated with each of the first set of users (see fig. 6, ref. num 114, 116, and 118 and col. 13, line 18 through col. 14, line 22 of Carter);
- Generating an encrypted header comprising information pertaining to the electronic document (see fig. 2, ref. num 224 of Follendore, III);
- Providing a user interface for enabling a user to input a user authorization for access to at least a portion of the encrypted document (see fig. 9, ref. num 152 and col. 16, lines 16-29 of Carter);
- Combining the user authorization with each of the stored multi-key components in the multi-key encryption key table to decrypt the encrypted header, wherein valid decryption of the encryption header indicates the document encryption key has been found (see fig. 9, ref. num 160 and 162 and col. 16, line 60 through col. 17, line 26 of Carter, and see fig. 2, ref. num 242 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 38</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore</u>, <u>III/Saito</u> teaches further comprising adding an unencrypted header identifying the generating user to each encrypted annotation (see fig. 2, ref. num 220 of Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 41</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the encrypted header includes an encryption marker comprising a random number sequence followed by a derivable variation of the same random number sequence, wherein a valid decryption of the encryption marker indicates the annotation encryption key has been found (see fig. 2, ref. num 230, 232, and 234 Follendore, III).

Regarding <u>claim 42</u>, the combination of <u>Carter</u> in view of <u>Follendore, III/Saito</u> teaches wherein the separate file and the electronic document are stored in different locations (see col. 9, lines 37-43 of Follendore, III).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Branda Hoff

BΗ

Oll Primay Examiner Avrisi 115/06